1	On August 7, 2013, Defendants filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss
2	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint in this action. Plaintiff's response to Defendants' Motion to
3	Dismiss is presently due on August 21, 2013.
4	Parties have agreed to stipulate to allow Plaintiff an additional twenty-one (21) days to
5	respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. A 21-day extension on Plaintiff's time to respond
6	renders a due date of September 11, 2013. Defendants' reply shall be due on or before
7	September 18, 2013. The current hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is set for
8	October 22, 2013. No dates will be affected and no party will be caused any prejudice by this
9	extension.
10	Good cause exists to grant such an extension because counsel for Plaintiff has been in
11	trial on an unrelated matter since August 12, 2013, after which Plaintiff expects to be occupied
12	with post-trial motion practice in that matter. Allowing Plaintiff an additional 21-day extension
13	to file his response is in the best interests of justice and economy.
14	Dated: August 21, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
15	GONZALEZ & LEIGH LLP
16	
17	By: <u>/s/ G. Whitney Leigh</u> G. Whitney Leigh
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff
19	DAVID O. BACA
20	Dated: August 21, 2013 KAMALA D. HARRIS
21	Attorney General of California  JOHN P. DEVINE
22	Supervising Attorney General
23	Dv. /o/Cnaig E Modlin
24	By: <u>/s/ Craig E. Modlin</u> Craig E. Modlin
25	Attorneys for Defendants
26	
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] ORDER Good cause appearing, and based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court grants Plaintiff a twenty-one (21) day extension to file his response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff's response is now due on or before September 11, 2013. IT IS SO ORDERED. 8/21/2013 Dated:\_\_ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE